A Resource-Sharing Game with Relative Priorities

J. Doncel (jdoncel@laas.fr) U. Ayesta, O. Brun and B. J. Prabhu

> LAAS-CNRS Toulouse, France

IFIP Performance Turin, Italy October 2014

• Higher payment, higher bandwidth

- Higher payment, higher bandwidth
- Cloud computing \Rightarrow pay for service
 - Amazon EC2: Instances

• Higher payment, higher bandwidth

Cloud computing \Rightarrow pay for service

- Amazon EC2: Instances
- Microsoft Azure, IBM, Google ...

• Higher payment, higher bandwidth

Cloud computing \Rightarrow pay for service

- Amazon EC2: Instances
- Microsoft Azure, IBM, Google ...

 \Rightarrow Better service increasing payment

Model Description

- 2 Solution of Original Game
- Solution of HT Game and Approximating Original Game
- Accuracy of the Approximation
- 5 Conclusions

Model Description

- 2 Solution of Original Game
- 3 Solution of HT Game and Approximating Original Game
- 4 Accuracy of the Approximation
- 5 Conclusions

Model: system parameters

 $C = \{1, 2, \dots, R\}$ set of players (classes of users) paying for service



 \Rightarrow Quality of service of classes: function of processing time

Model: system parameters

 $\mathcal{C} = \{1, 2, \ldots, R\}$ set of players (classes of users) paying for service



- \Rightarrow Quality of service of classes: function of processing time
 - λ_i : arrival rate
 - *B_i*: service requirement r. v.
 - $\rho_i = \lambda_i \mathbb{E}(B_i)$: class-i load
 - *T_i*(*g*): response time of tasks of class *i*
 - $\mathbb{E}(T_i(\boldsymbol{g})) = \overline{T}_i(\boldsymbol{g})$

- *Strategy* of players: amount that they pay $g_i \in [\epsilon, \infty)$.
- Capacity is shared according to the discriminatory processor sharing (DPS).

- *Strategy* of players: amount that they pay $g_i \in [\epsilon, \infty)$.
- Capacity is shared according to the discriminatory processor sharing (DPS).
- *r_i*: rate allocated to class *i*,

$$r_i(N_1,\ldots,N_R)=\frac{g_iN_i}{\sum_{j=1}^R g_jN_j},$$

when there are N_j tasks of class *j* in the system.

- *Strategy* of players: amount that they pay $g_i \in [\epsilon, \infty)$.
- Capacity is shared according to the discriminatory processor sharing (DPS).
- *r_i*: rate allocated to class *i*,

$$r_i(N_1,\ldots,N_R)=\frac{g_iN_i}{\sum_{j=1}^R g_jN_j},$$

when there are N_i tasks of class *j* in the system.

Higher payment ⇒ higher rate (better service)

- *Strategy* of players: amount that they pay $g_i \in [\epsilon, \infty)$.
- Capacity is shared according to the discriminatory processor sharing (DPS).
- *r_i*: rate allocated to class *i*,

$$r_i(N_1,\ldots,N_R)=rac{g_iN_i}{\sum_{j=1}^Rg_jN_j},$$

when there are N_i tasks of class *j* in the system.

• Higher payment \Rightarrow higher rate (better service)

Objective:

Minimize payment ensuring the QoS requirement

Known Results of DPS

Difficult model

• $\overline{T}_k(\boldsymbol{g})$ in close-form only for R = 2 and exp serv times.

Difficult model

- $\overline{T}_k(\boldsymbol{g})$ in close-form only for R = 2 and exp serv times.
- for exponentially distributed required service times and $R \ge 2$:

$$\overline{T}_{k}(\boldsymbol{g})\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{R}\frac{\lambda_{j}g_{j}}{\mu_{j}g_{j}+\mu_{k}g_{k}}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{R}\frac{\lambda_{j}g_{j}\overline{T}_{j}(\boldsymbol{g})}{\mu_{j}g_{j}+\mu_{k}g_{k}}=\frac{1}{\mu_{k}},\ k\in\mathcal{C}.$$

Difficult model

- $\overline{T}_k(\boldsymbol{g})$ in close-form only for R = 2 and exp serv times.
- for exponentially distributed required service times and R ≥ 2:

$$\overline{T}_{k}(\boldsymbol{g})\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{R}\frac{\lambda_{j}g_{j}}{\mu_{j}g_{j}+\mu_{k}g_{k}}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{R}\frac{\lambda_{j}g_{j}\overline{T}_{j}(\boldsymbol{g})}{\mu_{j}g_{j}+\mu_{k}g_{k}}=\frac{1}{\mu_{k}},\ k\in\mathcal{C}.$$

For non-exponentially distributed service times, T
 (g) is a solution to a set
 of integro-differential equations (Fayolle et al 80).

Difficult model

- $\overline{T}_k(\boldsymbol{g})$ in close-form only for R = 2 and exp serv times.
- for exponentially distributed required service times and *R* ≥ 2:

$$\overline{T}_{k}(\boldsymbol{g})\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{R}\frac{\lambda_{j}g_{j}}{\mu_{j}g_{j}+\mu_{k}g_{k}}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{R}\frac{\lambda_{j}g_{j}\overline{T}_{j}(\boldsymbol{g})}{\mu_{j}g_{j}+\mu_{k}g_{k}}=\frac{1}{\mu_{k}},\ k\in\mathcal{C}.$$

- For non-exponentially distributed service times, T(g) is a solution to a set of integro-differential equations (Fayolle et al 80).
- when $\rho \rightarrow 1$,

$$(1-\rho) \ T_i(\boldsymbol{g}) \stackrel{d}{\to} T_i(\boldsymbol{g}; 1) = X \cdot \frac{\mathbb{E}(B_i)}{g_i}, \quad i \in \mathcal{C},$$
 (1)

where X is an exponentially distributed random variable

Each player i

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\boldsymbol{g}_i \geq \epsilon} & \rho_i \boldsymbol{g}_i \\ \text{subject to} & \overline{\boldsymbol{T}}_i(\boldsymbol{g}) \leq \boldsymbol{c}_i. \end{array}$



ъ

Each player i

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min\limits_{\boldsymbol{g}_i \geq \epsilon} & \rho_i \boldsymbol{g}_i & (\mathsf{OPT-M}) \\ \text{subject to} & \overline{\mathcal{T}}_i(\boldsymbol{g}) \leq c_i. \end{array}$

Question:

What price should a player pay?

Josu Doncel (LAAS-CNRS)

∃ ⊳

	Game (OPT-M)		Game (OPT-HT)	
	N. Classes	Serv. Times	N. Classes	Serv. Times
Feasibility	Arbitrary	Exponential	Arbitrary	General
Existence of NE	Arbitrary	General	Arbitrary	General
Uniqueness of NE	2	General	Arbitrary	General
NE Characterization	2	Exponential	Arbitrary	General
Price of Anarchy	2	General	Arbitrary	General
BR Convergence (feasible point)	Arbitrary	General	Arbitrary	General
BR Convergence (any point)	2	Exponential	2	General

Summary of main results

Model Description

2 Solution of Original Game

3 Solution of HT Game and Approximating Original Game

- 4 Accuracy of the Approximation
- 5 Conclusions

Definition (Feasibility)

The game (OPT-M) is feasible if and only if it exists a performance vector such that $\overline{T}_i(\boldsymbol{g}) \leq c_i, i \in C$.

Definition (Feasibility)

The game (OPT-M) is feasible if and only if it exists a performance vector such that $\overline{T}_i(\boldsymbol{g}) \leq c_i, i \in C$.

Proposition

With general service time distributions, if the game is feasible, then

- there exists a Nash Equilibrium
- the dynamics of best-response converge to a Nash Equilibrium if the starting point *g* satisfies that *T*_i(*g*) ≤ *c*_i, *i* ∈ C.

Definition (Feasibility)

The game (OPT-M) is feasible if and only if it exists a performance vector such that $\overline{T}_i(\boldsymbol{g}) \leq c_i, i \in C$.

Proposition

With general service time distributions, if the game is feasible, then

- there exists a Nash Equilibrium
- the dynamics of best-response converge to a Nash Equilibrium if the starting point *g* satisfies that *T*_i(*g*) ≤ *c*_i, *i* ∈ C.

Since:

- $\overline{T}_i(g)$ decreases with g_i and increases with g_j , $\forall j \neq i$
- $\overline{T}_i(c\boldsymbol{g}) = \overline{T}_i(\boldsymbol{g})$

For exponential service times

Proposition

The game (OPT-M) is feasible if and only if

$$\sum_{i \in r} \rho_i c_i \geq W_r, \ \forall r \ subset \ of \ \mathcal{C}.$$

where $\bar{\rho}_r = \sum_{i \in r} \rho_i$ and $W_r = \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\rho}_r} \sum_{i \in r} \frac{\rho_i}{\mu_i}$.

Particular case

If $\exists \mathbf{g}$ such that $\overline{T}_i(\mathbf{g}) = \mathbf{c}_i \Rightarrow$ infinite equilibria

$$\boldsymbol{g}^{\mathsf{NE}}=\boldsymbol{c}\; \boldsymbol{g},\; \forall \boldsymbol{c}.$$

Particular case

If $\exists \mathbf{g}$ such that $\overline{T}_i(\mathbf{g}) = c_i \Rightarrow$ infinite equilibria

$$\boldsymbol{g}^{\textit{NE}}=\boldsymbol{c}~\boldsymbol{g},~orall c.$$

Two players and exponential service times

Let $\mathbb{E}(B_i) = 1/\mu_i$. Assume $c_1\mu_1 \le c_2\mu_2$. If the game is feasible, then the unique equilibrium is

• Let
$$\boldsymbol{g}^{PS} = (\epsilon, \epsilon)$$
. If $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_i(\boldsymbol{g}^{PS}) \leq \boldsymbol{c}_i$, then $\boldsymbol{g}^{NE} = \boldsymbol{g}^{PS}$,

• otherwise,
$$\mathbf{g}^{NE} = (g_1^{NE}, \epsilon)$$
, where $g_1^{NE} = \epsilon \frac{-\mu_1 \rho_2 + \mu_2 (1-\rho_2) [\mu_1 c_1 (1-\rho)-1]}{-\mu_1 \rho_2 - \mu_1 (1-\rho_1) [\mu_1 c_1 (1-\rho)-1]}$.

Example:

2 classes and exp serv times



Figure: Set of performance vectors in a DPS queue

Example:

2 classes and exp serv times





Figure: Set of performance vectors such that $\overline{T}_i(\boldsymbol{g}) \leq c_i$ (feasibility)

(C₁,C₂)

 $\rho_1 T_1 + \rho_2 T_2 = W_{12}$

Τ,

Feasibility *\equiverline \equiverline \equi*

 $\iff \rho_i c_i \ge W_i, i = 1, 2$ $\rho_1 c_1 + \rho_2 c_2 \ge W_{12}$

W, / P,

 T_2

W, / p,

Example: 2 classes and exp serv times



Figure: The case $\overline{T}_i(\boldsymbol{g}^{PS}) \leq c_i$.

Example: 2 classes and exp serv times



Model Description

2 Solution of Original Game

Solution of HT Game and Approximating Original Game

4 Accuracy of the Approximation

5 Conclusions

The HT Game

• Heavy traffic : when the system load is close to 1.

The HT Game

• Heavy traffic : when the system load is close to 1.

Proposition (Verloop et al 2011)

When scaled with 1 – ρ , the response time of class-i jobs has a proper distribution as $\rho \rightarrow 1$.

$$(1-\rho) \ T_i(\boldsymbol{g}) \stackrel{d}{\to} T_i(\boldsymbol{g}; 1) = X \cdot \frac{\mathbb{E}(B_i)}{g_i}, \quad i \in \mathcal{C},$$
 (2)

where $\stackrel{d}{\rightarrow}$ denotes convergence in distribution and X is an exponentially distributed random variable with mean

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \frac{\sum_{k} \lambda_{k} \mathbb{E}\left(B_{k}^{2}\right)}{\sum_{k} \lambda_{k} \mathbb{E}\left(B_{k}^{2}\right) \frac{1}{g_{k}}}.$$
(3)

The HT Game

• Heavy traffic : when the system load is close to 1.

Proposition (Verloop et al 2011)

When scaled with 1 – ρ , the response time of class-i jobs has a proper distribution as $\rho \rightarrow 1$.

$$(1-\rho) \ T_i(\boldsymbol{g}) \stackrel{d}{\to} T_i(\boldsymbol{g}; 1) = X \cdot \frac{\mathbb{E}(B_i)}{g_i}, \quad i \in \mathcal{C},$$
 (2)

where \xrightarrow{d} denotes convergence in distribution and X is an exponentially distributed random variable with mean

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \frac{\sum_{k} \lambda_{k} \mathbb{E}\left(B_{k}^{2}\right)}{\sum_{k} \lambda_{k} \mathbb{E}\left(B_{k}^{2}\right) \frac{1}{g_{k}}}.$$

Assume convergence in mean:

$$(1-
ho)\overline{T}_i(\boldsymbol{g};
ho)=\overline{T}_i(\boldsymbol{g};1)=rac{\mathbb{E}(B_i)}{g_i}rac{\sum_k\lambda_k\mathbb{E}\left(B_k^2
ight)}{\sum_k\lambda_k\mathbb{E}\left(B_k^2
ight)rac{1}{q_k}}$$

(3)

Theorem

Assume $\mathbb{E}(B_i)/\tilde{c}_i$ decreasing with *i*, where $\tilde{c}_i = c_i (1 - \rho)$. If the game is feasible, the unique Nash equilibrium is

$$egin{array}{rcl} g^{NE}_i &=& \epsilon rac{ ilde{t}_m / \mathbb{E}(B_m)}{ ilde{c}_i / \mathbb{E}(B_i)}, ext{ for all } i < m, \ g^{NE}_i &=& \epsilon, ext{ for all } i \geq m, \end{array}$$

where m is the minimum value such that there exists $\tilde{t}_m \leq \tilde{c}_m$ verifying

$$\frac{\tilde{t}_m}{\mathbb{E}(B_m)} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^R \lambda_k \mathbb{E}\left(B_k^2\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \lambda_k \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(B_k^2\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(B_k\right)} \tilde{c}_k}{\sum_{k=m}^R \lambda_k \mathbb{E}\left(B_k^2\right)}$$

Arbitrary number of classes and general service times distribution.

Approximating (OPT-M)

Let $\mathbb{E}(B_i)/c_i \geq \mathbb{E}(B_j)/c_j$, if i < j. Using $\overline{T}_i(\boldsymbol{g}) = \frac{\overline{T}_i(\boldsymbol{g}_i)}{1-\rho} \Rightarrow \text{Approximated NE}$:

Corollary

$$egin{aligned} g^{ extsf{NE}}_i &= \epsilon rac{t_m / \mathbb{E}(B_m)}{c_i / \mathbb{E}(B_i)}, extsf{ for all } i < m, \ g^{ extsf{NE}}_i &= \epsilon, extsf{ for all } i \geq m, \end{aligned}$$

where m = 1, ..., R is the minimum value such that there exists a value $t_m \le c_m$ verifying

$$\frac{t_m}{\mathbb{E}(B_m)} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{R} \frac{\lambda_k \mathbb{E}(B_k^2)}{(1-\rho)} - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \lambda_k \frac{\mathbb{E}(B_k^2)}{\mathbb{E}(B_k)} c_k}{\sum_{k=m}^{R} \lambda_k \mathbb{E}(B_k^2)}.$$
(4)

 $\tilde{c}_i = c_i (1 - \rho),$ $\tilde{t}_m = t_m (1 - \rho)$

Model Description

2 Solution of Original Game

3 Solution of HT Game and Approximating Original Game

- Accuracy of the Approximation
 - 5 Conclusions

4 classes and exp serv times: homogeneous players



Josu Doncel (LAAS-CNRS)

4 classes and exp serv times: heterogeneous players



Josu Doncel (LAAS-CNRS)

Performance 2014 22 / 25

Model Description

- 2 Solution of Original Game
- 3 Solution of HT Game and Approximating Original Game
- 4 Accuracy of the Approximation



Game theory and time-sharing systems: few previous work Complicated model

- solved in some case
- for the rest, HT approximation

Future:

- Convergence of the Best Response
- Multiserver
- Users decreasing λ_i if $g_i > M$

Thank you for your attention.